



2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report

for

Dolores Re-4a School District

Accountability Version



Copyright 2001-2013 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.

Report generated: Friday, September 20, 2013



Executive Summary Report

Overview of this Document

This report includes graphic and tabular representations of your 2013 TCAP test results for the district and for schools. It is designed with the following audiences in mind:

- School Board
- Press / Public
- District and School Accountability Committees
- District and School Administrative Leadership Team

The *Executive Summary Report* is available immediately after the test scores are released to districts, at a time when the most important questions to answer are:

- How does our performance compare to that of the state?
- How did our performance change this year compared with our performance in prior years?
- Are the students from various disaggregated groups performing equally well? If not, where are the gaps?

The *Executive Summary Report* addresses these questions directly. More extensive reports for the district and schools are generated after the state releases additional information in the weeks that follow the initial press conference.

Various accountability mandates require data to be reported in several different ways. The data in this report are presented for *all students* including students who eventually will be excluded from the School Accountability Reports and/or No Child Left Behind reports. Separate reports are produced by Alpine based on the specific inclusion and exclusion rules for each of these accountability mandates.

The minimum number of students in any given group necessary to generate a graph appears in the lower right corner of each page of this report. This number is set by the district or by the end user directly. If you print a report with a minimum of fewer than 10 students, we strongly suggest that you not share it publicly to protect the privacy rights of students.

The accuracy of the information in this report depends upon the accuracy of information in the TCAP/CSAP files and in other documents downloaded from the Colorado Department of Education (CDE) website. Historic achievement data were updated where necessary to reflect the state's rescaling of reading prior to 2001 and writing and math prior to 2002. The records are spot checked before reports are released, but if any school does not appear on this report (e.g., a new school this year), or if any result does not make sense, please contact Alpine and we will make any corrections necessary.

Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16



Overall Guiding Questions

This report contains a great deal of information. It is a report you probably will return to regularly as you share your results with many different audiences in the months ahead.

Look for patterns and trends throughout the report and consider the most important issues facing your district/school. You should be able to summarize the results for the district or for any school in 3-5 descriptive statements. This report is focused on the big picture, not on the details.

Below are some questions to keep in mind as you review your results. Additional questions that are specific to the interpretation of a particular graph format are shown below sample graphs on the next several pages. The questions below are just a starter list. You will have many more to add.

- How well did students in the district/schools perform on the tests overall? Were you pleased overall with student performance this year?
- Which grade levels did you think would do well? Did they perform as well as they should have?
- In which content areas did you expect the highest performance? What do the data suggest?
- In what ways are these data similar to other achievement data you have collected about students? In what ways are they different?
- Which schools showed the most improvement? Which showed the least improvement? Why do you think this occurred?
- What is the good news story from these results?
- What was a surprise?
- What concerns do you have after reviewing these results?
- Did you target specific areas for improvement this year? Was there a relationship between your focus area and performance? Were there trade offs in other areas?
- How might this information guide curriculum and instructional planning decisions in the district?
- What factors do you think are related to your performance?
- What targets will you set for achievement and/or improvement for next year's tests?
- What will you do now?

Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score


Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16



All Students Totals


District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 3rd Grade Reading (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Re-4a	14	16	59	8	67	2	7	8	29	4	33	1
Colorado	10	17	66	7	73	0	6047	10585	41962	4365	46327	281




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 3rd Grade Reading (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	9	21	65	2	67	2	4	9	28	1	29	1
2009	10	10	75	4	79	0	5	5	36	2	38	0
2010	6	14	80	0	80	0	2	5	28	0	28	0
2011	15	15	70	0	70	0	8	8	37	0	37	0
2012	4	21	66	6	72	2	2	10	31	3	34	1
2013	14	16	59	8	67	2	7	8	29	4	33	1



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 3rd Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	49	67	31	2
Female	22	77	23	0
Male	27	59	37	4
AmlndAK	3	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	4	X	X	X
Wht	41	71	27	2
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	3	X	X	X
ELL	2	X	X	X



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 4th Grade Reading (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Re-4a	6	31	60	4	63	0	3	16	31	2	33	0
Colorado	11	21	63	5	68	0	6773	13716	40827	2947	43774	221


District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 4th Grade Reading



District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 4th Grade Reading (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	3	12	77	7	83	2	2	7	46	4	50	1
2009	23	21	51	3	54	3	9	8	20	1	21	1
2010	8	13	79	0	79	0	4	7	42	0	42	0
2011	11	13	74	2	76	0	5	6	34	1	35	0
2012	11	8	77	4	81	0	6	4	41	2	43	0
2013	6	31	60	4	63	0	3	16	31	2	33	0


District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 4th Grade Reading



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 4th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	52	63	37	0
Female	24	71	29	0
Male	28	57	43	0
AmIndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	3	X	X	X
Wht	46	65	35	0
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	2	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 4th Grade Reading



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 5th Grade Reading (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Re-4a	16	5	76	3	79	0	9	3	44	2	46	0
Colorado	11	18	62	8	70	0	7294	11260	39428	5206	44634	278


District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 5th Grade Reading



District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 5th Grade Reading (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	10	20	54	14	68	2	5	10	27	7	34	1
2009	9	22	60	9	69	0	5	13	35	5	40	0
2010	14	14	62	8	70	3	5	5	23	3	26	1
2011	9	6	81	4	85	0	4	3	38	2	40	0
2012	9	12	79	0	79	0	4	5	34	0	34	0
2013	16	5	76	3	79	0	9	3	44	2	46	0


District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 5th Grade Reading



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 5th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	58	79	21	0
Female	27	81	19	0
Male	31	77	23	0
AmlndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	1	X	X	X
Hisp	5	X	X	X
Wht	49	86	14	0
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	8	X	X	X
ELL	3	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 5th Grade Reading



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 6th Grade Reading (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Re-4a	11	6	77	6	83	0	6	3	41	3	44	0
Colorado	8	18	62	11	73	1	4938	11309	38862	7097	45959	845


District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 6th Grade Reading



District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 6th Grade Reading (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	5	23	62	11	73	0	3	15	41	7	48	0
2009	6	16	65	11	76	2	4	10	40	7	47	1
2010	5	10	70	15	85	0	3	6	42	9	51	0
2011	11	27	56	7	62	0	5	12	25	3	28	0
2012	9	6	69	15	83	2	5	3	37	8	45	1
2013	11	6	77	6	83	0	6	3	41	3	44	0


District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 6th Grade Reading



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 6th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	53	83	17	0
Female	25	84	16	0
Male	28	82	18	0
AmlndAK	2	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	7	X	X	X
Wht	44	84	16	0
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	6	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 6th Grade Reading



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 7th Grade Reading (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Re-4a	7	25	59	9	68	0	4	14	33	5	38	0
Colorado	11	21	59	9	68	1	6815	13070	36650	5501	42151	359


District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 7th Grade Reading



District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 7th Grade Reading (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	8	14	69	7	76	1	6	10	49	5	54	1
2009	6	15	69	9	78	0	4	10	45	6	51	0
2010	7	23	60	11	70	0	4	13	34	6	40	0
2011	10	13	68	10	77	0	6	8	42	6	48	0
2012	16	13	62	9	71	0	7	6	28	4	32	0
2013	7	25	59	9	68	0	4	14	33	5	38	0


District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 7th Grade Reading



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 7th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	56	68	32	0
Female	25	84	16	0
Male	31	55	45	0
AmlndAK	3	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	4	X	X	X
Wht	48	69	31	0
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	4	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 7th Grade Reading



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Reading (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Re-4a	12	14	69	2	71	4	6	7	35	1	36	2
Colorado	10	23	59	8	67	1	5882	13891	35960	4891	40851	450


District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Reading



District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 8th Grade Reading (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	8	15	62	15	77	0	4	8	32	8	40	0
2009	3	19	70	8	78	0	2	14	51	6	57	0
2010	6	19	68	8	75	0	3	10	36	4	40	0
2011	5	25	62	7	69	0	3	14	34	4	38	0
2012	9	22	65	3	68	1	6	15	44	2	46	1
2013	12	14	69	2	71	4	6	7	35	1	36	2


District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 8th Grade Reading



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	51	71	25	4
Female	28	82	14	4
Male	23	57	39	4
AmlndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	8	X	X	X
Wht	42	71	24	5
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	4	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Reading



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 9th Grade Reading (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Re-4a	4	25	68	2	70	2	14	39	1	40	1	
Colorado	7	24	64	4	68	1	4258	14768	39370	2272	41642	879


District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 9th Grade Reading



District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 9th Grade Reading (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	5	19	67	5	71	5	2	8	28	2	30	2
2009	4	26	66	4	70	0	2	13	33	2	35	0
2010	6	21	73	0	73	0	4	14	48	0	48	0
2011	4	23	68	6	74	0	2	12	36	3	39	0
2012	4	16	75	5	80	0	2	9	41	3	44	0
2013	4	25	68	2	70	2	2	14	39	1	40	1


District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 9th Grade Reading



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 9th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	57	70	28	2
Female	35	83	17	0
Male	22	50	45	5
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	4	X	X	X
Wht	53	70	28	2
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	5	X	X	X
ELL	0	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 9th Grade Reading



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 10th Grade Reading (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Re-4a	0	18	67	15	82	0	0	10	37	8	45	0
Colorado	7	21	59	10	70	2	4132	12301	34821	6048	40869	1384


District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 10th Grade Reading



District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 10th Grade Reading (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	3	16	70	11	81	0	2	10	44	7	51	0
2009	7	15	68	5	73	5	3	6	28	2	30	2
2010	5	19	65	8	73	3	2	7	24	3	27	1
2011	2	14	77	6	83	2	1	9	51	4	55	1
2012	4	15	62	17	79	2	2	8	33	9	42	1
2013	0	18	67	15	82	0	0	10	37	8	45	0


District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 10th Grade Reading



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 10th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	55	82	18	0
Female	26	85	15	0
Male	29	79	21	0
AmlndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	2	X	X	X
Hisp	3	X	X	X
Wht	47	83	17	0
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	5	X	X	X
ELL	0	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 10th Grade Reading



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 3rd Grade Writing (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Re-4a	8	42	44	6	50	0	4	20	21	3	24	0
Colorado	6	43	43	8	51	0	3569	27269	27344	4894	32238	202


District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 3rd Grade Writing



District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 3rd Grade Writing (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	9	33	47	12	58	0	4	14	20	5	25	0
2009	11	34	45	11	55	0	5	16	21	5	26	0
2010	6	53	36	6	42	0	2	19	13	2	15	0
2011	4	35	54	7	61	0	2	19	29	4	33	0
2012	6	40	36	17	53	0	3	19	17	8	25	0
2013	8	42	44	6	50	0	4	20	21	3	24	0


District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 3rd Grade Writing



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 3rd Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	48	50	50	0
Female	21	71	29	0
Male	27	33	67	0
AmlndAK	2	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	4	X	X	X
Wht	41	51	49	0
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	3	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 3rd Grade Writing



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 4th Grade Writing (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Re-4a	8	38	52	2	54	0	4	20	27	1	28	0
Colorado	7	39	45	8	53	0	4429	25437	29114	5186	34300	321


District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 4th Grade Writing



District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 4th Grade Writing (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	2	38	48	10	58	2	1	23	29	6	35	1
2009	15	54	26	3	28	3	6	21	10	1	11	1
2010	6	36	47	11	58	0	3	19	25	6	31	0
2011	7	35	48	11	59	0	3	16	22	5	27	0
2012	9	42	42	8	49	0	5	22	22	4	26	0
2013	8	38	52	2	54	0	4	20	27	1	28	0


District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 4th Grade Writing



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 4th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	52	54	46	0
Female	24	67	33	0
Male	28	43	57	0
AmlndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	3	X	X	X
Wht	46	57	43	0
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	2	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 4th Grade Writing



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 5th Grade Writing (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Re-4a	7	33	50	10	60	0	4	19	29	6	35	0
Colorado	5	37	48	9	57	1	3144	23555	30583	5713	36296	473


District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 5th Grade Writing



District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 5th Grade Writing (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	4	40	54	2	56	0	2	20	27	1	28	0
2009	5	43	47	5	52	0	3	25	27	3	30	0
2010	8	35	51	3	54	3	3	13	19	1	20	1
2011	2	32	49	17	66	0	1	15	23	8	31	0
2012	5	33	58	5	63	0	2	14	25	2	27	0
2013	7	33	50	10	60	0	4	19	29	6	35	0


District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 5th Grade Writing



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 5th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	58	60	40	0
Female	27	74	26	0
Male	31	48	52	0
AmIndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	1	X	X	X
Hisp	5	X	X	X
Wht	49	63	37	0
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	8	X	X	X
ELL	3	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 5th Grade Writing



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 6th Grade Writing (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Re-4a	2	42	53	4	57	0	1	22	28	2	30	0
Colorado	4	38	49	9	58	1	2629	23740	30751	5578	36329	355


District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 6th Grade Writing



District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 6th Grade Writing (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	2	41	52	6	58	0	1	27	34	4	38	0
2009	2	52	42	5	47	0	1	32	26	3	29	0
2010	2	28	62	8	70	0	1	17	37	5	42	0
2011	9	42	44	4	49	0	4	19	20	2	22	0
2012	9	31	44	15	59	0	5	17	24	8	32	0
2013	2	42	53	4	57	0	1	22	28	2	30	0


District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 6th Grade Writing



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 6th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	53	57	43	0
Female	25	60	40	0
Male	28	54	46	0
AmlndAK	2	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	7	X	X	X
Wht	44	57	43	0
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	6	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 6th Grade Writing



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 7th Grade Writing (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Re-4a	4	38	45	14	59	0	2	21	25	8	33	0
Colorado	3	35	47	15	61	1	1739	21930	29033	9265	38298	431


District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 7th Grade Writing



District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 7th Grade Writing (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	1	38	49	10	59	1	1	27	35	7	42	1
2009	3	35	54	8	62	0	2	23	35	5	40	0
2010	0	37	53	11	63	0	0	21	30	6	36	0
2011	2	34	56	8	65	0	1	21	35	5	40	0
2012	4	36	49	9	58	2	2	16	22	4	26	1
2013	4	38	45	14	59	0	2	21	25	8	33	0


District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 7th Grade Writing



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 7th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	56	59	41	0
Female	25	80	20	0
Male	31	42	58	0
AmlndAK	3	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	4	X	X	X
Wht	48	58	42	0
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	4	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 7th Grade Writing



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Writing (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Re-4a	4	33	61	2	63	0	2	17	31	1	32	0
Colorado	3	40	47	9	56	1	1836	24683	28434	5641	34075	485


District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Writing



District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 8th Grade Writing (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	10	37	48	6	54	0	5	19	25	3	28	0
2009	1	45	48	5	53	0	1	33	35	4	39	0
2010	2	36	49	13	62	0	1	19	26	7	33	0
2011	0	40	47	13	60	0	0	22	26	7	33	0
2012	4	40	50	3	53	3	3	27	34	2	36	2
2013	4	33	61	2	63	0	2	17	31	1	32	0


District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 8th Grade Writing



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	51	63	37	0
Female	28	82	18	0
Male	23	39	61	0
AmlndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	8	X	X	X
Wht	42	69	31	0
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	4	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Writing



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 9th Grade Writing (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Re-4a	5	44	46	4	49	2	3	25	26	2	28	1
Colorado	3	40	47	8	55	1	1969	24862	28738	5144	33882	840


District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 9th Grade Writing



District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 9th Grade Writing (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	0	48	43	5	48	5	0	20	18	2	20	2
2009	2	48	42	8	50	0	1	24	21	4	25	0
2010	5	44	42	9	52	0	3	29	28	6	34	0
2011	2	38	49	11	60	0	1	20	26	6	32	0
2012	0	38	49	7	56	5	0	21	27	4	31	3
2013	5	44	46	4	49	2	3	25	26	2	28	1


District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 9th Grade Writing



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 9th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	57	49	49	2
Female	35	57	43	0
Male	22	36	59	5
AmlndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	4	X	X	X
Wht	53	49	49	2
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	5	X	X	X
ELL	0	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 9th Grade Writing



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score


Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16



2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District


District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 10th Grade Writing (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Re-4a	2	47	44	7	51	0	1	26	24	4	28	0
Colorado	6	43	41	7	49	2	3790	24972	24307	4316	28623	1311




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 10th Grade Writing (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	5	41	51	3	54	0	3	26	32	2	34	0
2009	10	39	44	2	46	5	4	16	18	1	19	2
2010	3	51	41	3	43	3	1	19	15	1	16	1
2011	0	45	52	2	53	2	0	30	34	1	35	1
2012	4	40	51	6	57	0	2	21	27	3	30	0
2013	2	47	44	7	51	0	1	26	24	4	28	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 10th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	55	51	49	0
Female	26	65	35	0
Male	29	38	62	0
AmlndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	2	X	X	X
Hisp	3	X	X	X
Wht	47	55	45	0
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	5	X	X	X
ELL	0	X	X	X



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 3rd Grade Math (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Re-4a	6	29	42	23	65	0	3	14	20	11	31	0
Colorado	7	20	41	31	72	0	4614	13073	26342	20248	46590	167


District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 3rd Grade Math



District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 3rd Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	19	19	44	19	63	0	8	8	19	8	27	0
2009	13	26	40	19	60	2	6	12	19	9	28	1
2010	3	14	42	42	83	0	1	5	15	15	30	0
2011	11	17	43	30	72	0	6	9	23	16	39	0
2012	6	23	38	32	70	0	3	11	18	15	33	0
2013	6	29	42	23	65	0	3	14	20	11	31	0


District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 3rd Grade Math



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 3rd Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	48	65	35	0
Female	21	67	33	0
Male	27	63	37	0
AmlndAK	2	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	4	X	X	X
Wht	41	66	34	0
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	3	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 3rd Grade Math



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 4th Grade Math (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Re-4a	4	35	35	27	62	0	2	18	18	14	32	0
Colorado	8	20	43	28	72	0	5354	12670	28032	18310	46342	224


District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 4th Grade Math



District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 4th Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	2	22	54	22	76	0	1	13	32	13	45	0
2009	23	31	41	3	44	3	9	12	16	1	17	1
2010	6	19	38	38	75	0	3	10	20	20	40	0
2011	7	20	41	33	74	0	3	9	19	15	34	0
2012	8	17	51	25	75	0	4	9	27	13	40	0
2013	4	35	35	27	62	0	2	18	18	14	32	0


District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 4th Grade Math



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 4th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	52	62	38	0
Female	24	71	29	0
Male	28	54	46	0
AmIndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	3	X	X	X
Wht	46	63	37	0
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	2	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 4th Grade Math



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 5th Grade Math (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Re-4a	17	14	45	24	69	0	10	8	26	14	40	0
Colorado	9	25	36	29	65	0	5816	16015	23147	18283	41430	202


District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 5th Grade Math



District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 5th Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	6	42	38	14	52	0	3	21	19	7	26	0
2009	7	34	38	21	59	0	4	20	22	12	34	0
2010	11	27	46	14	59	3	4	10	17	5	22	1
2011	6	21	40	32	72	0	3	10	19	15	34	0
2012	5	26	37	33	70	0	2	11	16	14	30	0
2013	17	14	45	24	69	0	10	8	26	14	40	0


District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 5th Grade Math



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 5th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	58	69	31	0
Female	27	70	30	0
Male	31	68	32	0
AmlndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	1	X	X	X
Hisp	5	X	X	X
Wht	49	73	27	0
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	8	X	X	X
ELL	3	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 5th Grade Math



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 6th Grade Math (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Re-4a	13	23	45	19	64	0	7	12	24	10	34	0
Colorado	12	26	35	27	62	0	7457	16200	22056	17049	39105	288


District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 6th Grade Math



District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 6th Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	17	50	27	6	33	0	11	33	18	4	22	0
2009	19	29	42	10	52	0	12	18	26	6	32	0
2010	7	37	40	17	57	0	4	22	24	10	34	0
2011	13	24	44	18	62	0	6	11	20	8	28	0
2012	9	22	41	28	69	0	5	12	22	15	37	0
2013	13	23	45	19	64	0	7	12	24	10	34	0


District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 6th Grade Math



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 6th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	53	64	36	0
Female	25	64	36	0
Male	28	64	36	0
AmlndAK	2	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	7	X	X	X
Wht	44	68	32	0
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	6	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 6th Grade Math



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 7th Grade Math (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Re-4a	13	34	30	23	54	0	7	19	17	13	30	0
Colorado	14	30	28	27	55	1	8842	18935	17406	16893	34299	327


District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 7th Grade Math



District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 7th Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	14	41	28	15	44	1	10	29	20	11	31	1
2009	15	43	31	11	42	0	10	28	20	7	27	0
2010	16	38	28	19	47	0	9	22	16	11	27	0
2011	13	35	29	23	52	0	8	22	18	14	32	0
2012	18	38	29	16	44	0	8	17	13	7	20	0
2013	13	34	30	23	54	0	7	19	17	13	30	0


District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 7th Grade Math



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 7th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	56	54	46	0
Female	25	64	36	0
Male	31	45	55	0
AmIndAK	3	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	4	X	X	X
Wht	48	56	44	0
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	4	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 7th Grade Math



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Math (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Re-4a	10	39	37	10	47	4	5	20	19	5	24	2
Colorado	19	29	29	23	51	1	11577	17668	17463	13977	31440	385


District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Math



District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 8th Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	21	21	29	29	58	0	11	11	15	15	30	0
2009	15	37	27	21	48	0	11	27	20	15	35	0
2010	13	28	33	26	59	0	7	15	18	14	32	0
2011	13	33	36	18	55	0	7	18	20	10	30	0
2012	22	32	31	15	46	0	15	22	21	10	31	0
2013	10	39	37	10	47	4	5	20	19	5	24	2


District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 8th Grade Math



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	51	47	49	4
Female	28	61	36	4
Male	23	30	65	4
AmlndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	8	X	X	X
Wht	42	48	48	5
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	4	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Math



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 9th Grade Math (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Re-4a	35	33	18	12	30	2	20	19	10	7	17	1
Colorado	30	30	24	15	39	1	18263	18670	14741	9176	23917	692


District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 9th Grade Math



District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 9th Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	17	45	14	19	33	5	7	19	6	8	14	2
2009	30	30	22	16	38	2	15	15	11	8	19	1
2010	20	38	29	14	42	0	13	25	19	9	28	0
2011	23	34	28	15	43	0	12	18	15	8	23	0
2012	25	35	25	15	40	0	14	19	14	8	22	0
2013	35	33	18	12	30	2	20	19	10	7	17	1


District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 9th Grade Math



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 9th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	57	30	68	2
Female	35	29	71	0
Male	22	32	64	5
AmlndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	4	X	X	X
Wht	53	30	68	2
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	5	X	X	X
ELL	0	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 9th Grade Math



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 10th Grade Math (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Re-4a	22	36	38	4	42	0	12	20	21	2	23	0
Colorado	29	35	28	6	34	2	17148	20459	16191	3725	19916	1162


District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 10th Grade Math



District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 10th Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	35	24	33	8	41	0	22	15	21	5	26	0
2009	29	34	27	5	32	5	12	14	11	2	13	2
2010	16	38	38	5	43	3	6	14	14	2	16	1
2011	14	43	35	6	42	2	9	28	23	4	27	1
2012	17	45	32	6	38	0	9	24	17	3	20	0
2013	22	36	38	4	42	0	12	20	21	2	23	0


District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 10th Grade Math



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 10th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	55	42	58	0
Female	26	46	54	0
Male	29	38	62	0
AmlndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	2	X	X	X
Hisp	3	X	X	X
Wht	47	47	53	0
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	5	X	X	X
ELL	0	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 10th Grade Math



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score


Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16



2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District


District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 5th Grade Science (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Re-4a	14	33	48	5	53	0	8	19	28	3	31	0
Colorado	14	37	35	13	48	0	8842	23664	22449	8285	30734	219




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 5th Grade Science (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	16	34	38	10	48	2	8	17	19	5	24	1
2009	7	50	33	10	43	0	4	29	19	6	25	0
2010	8	47	37	3	39	5	3	18	14	1	15	2
2011	6	45	40	9	49	0	3	21	19	4	23	0
2012	14	16	53	16	70	0	6	7	23	7	30	0
2013	14	33	48	5	53	0	8	19	28	3	31	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 5th Grade Science

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	58	53	47	0
Female	27	52	48	0
Male	31	55	45	0
AmlndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	1	X	X	X
Hisp	5	X	X	X
Wht	49	55	45	0
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	8	X	X	X
ELL	3	X	X	X



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Science (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Re-4a	14	29	49	4	53	4	7	15	25	2	27	2
Colorado	21	27	43	9	52	1	12703	16350	26331	5232	31563	458


District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Science



District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 8th Grade Science (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	15	29	38	17	56	0	8	15	20	9	29	0
2009	11	36	45	8	53	0	8	26	33	6	39	0
2010	19	28	47	6	53	0	10	15	25	3	28	0
2011	13	27	53	7	60	0	7	15	29	4	33	0
2012	22	41	29	6	35	1	15	28	20	4	24	1
2013	14	29	49	4	53	4	7	15	25	2	27	2


District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 8th Grade Science



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Science

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	51	53	43	4
Female	28	64	32	4
Male	23	39	57	4
AmlndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	8	X	X	X
Wht	42	57	38	5
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	4	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Science



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 10th Grade Science (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Re-4a	5	22	67	5	73	0	3	12	37	3	40	0
Colorado	25	21	43	8	51	2	14953	12366	25278	4824	30102	1239


District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 10th Grade Science



District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 10th Grade Science (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	22	16	54	8	62	0	14	10	34	5	39	0
2009	17	15	61	2	63	5	7	6	25	1	26	2
2010	16	19	49	14	62	3	6	7	18	5	23	1
2011	12	23	53	11	64	2	8	15	35	7	42	1
2012	9	26	51	13	64	0	5	14	27	7	34	0
2013	5	22	67	5	73	0	3	12	37	3	40	0


District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 10th Grade Science



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 10th Grade Science

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	55	73	27	0
Female	26	73	27	0
Male	29	72	28	0
AmlndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	2	X	X	X
Hisp	3	X	X	X
Wht	47	77	23	0
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	5	X	X	X
ELL	0	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 10th Grade Science



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score


Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16



Dolores Elem


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 3rd Grade Reading (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Elem	14	16	59	8	67	2	7	8	29	4	33	1
Dolores Re-4a	14	16	59	8	67	2	7	8	29	4	33	1
Colorado	10	17	66	7	73	0	6047	10585	41962	4365	46327	281




Dolores Elem Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 3rd Grade Reading (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	9	21	65	2	67	2	4	9	28	1	29	1
2009	10	10	75	4	79	0	5	5	36	2	38	0
2010	6	14	80	0	80	0	2	5	28	0	28	0
2011	15	15	70	0	70	0	8	8	37	0	37	0
2012	4	21	66	6	72	2	2	10	31	3	34	1
2013	14	16	59	8	67	2	7	8	29	4	33	1



Dolores Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 3rd Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	49	67	31	2
Female	22	77	23	0
Male	27	59	37	4
AmlndAK	3	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	4	X	X	X
Wht	41	71	27	2
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	3	X	X	X
ELL	2	X	X	X



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score


Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16



2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 4th Grade Reading (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Elem	6	31	60	4	63	0	3	16	31	2	33	0
Dolores Re-4a	6	31	60	4	63	0	3	16	31	2	33	0
Colorado	11	21	63	5	68	0	6773	13716	40827	2947	43774	221




Dolores Elem Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 4th Grade Reading (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	3	12	77	7	83	2	2	7	46	4	50	1
2009	23	21	51	3	54	3	9	8	20	1	21	1
2010	8	13	79	0	79	0	4	7	42	0	42	0
2011	11	13	74	2	76	0	5	6	34	1	35	0
2012	11	8	77	4	81	0	6	4	41	2	43	0
2013	6	31	60	4	63	0	3	16	31	2	33	0



Dolores Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 4th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	52	63	37	0
Female	24	71	29	0
Male	28	57	43	0
AmlndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	3	X	X	X
Wht	46	65	35	0
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	2	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 5th Grade Reading (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Elem	16	5	76	3	79	0	9	3	44	2	46	0
Dolores Re-4a	16	5	76	3	79	0	9	3	44	2	46	0
Colorado	11	18	62	8	70	0	7294	11260	39428	5206	44634	278


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 5th Grade Reading



Dolores Elem Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 5th Grade Reading (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	10	20	54	14	68	2	5	10	27	7	34	1
2009	9	22	60	9	69	0	5	13	35	5	40	0
2010	14	14	62	8	70	3	5	5	23	3	26	1
2011	9	6	81	4	85	0	4	3	38	2	40	0
2012	9	12	79	0	79	0	4	5	34	0	34	0
2013	16	5	76	3	79	0	9	3	44	2	46	0


Dolores Elem Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 5th Grade Reading



Dolores Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 5th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	58	79	21	0
Female	27	81	19	0
Male	31	77	23	0
AmIndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	1	X	X	X
Hisp	5	X	X	X
Wht	49	86	14	0
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	8	X	X	X
ELL	3	X	X	X

Dolores Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 5th Grade Reading



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score


Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16



2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 3rd Grade Writing (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Elem	8	42	44	6	50	0	4	20	21	3	24	0
Dolores Re-4a	8	42	44	6	50	0	4	20	21	3	24	0
Colorado	6	43	43	8	51	0	3569	27269	27344	4894	32238	202




Dolores Elem Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 3rd Grade Writing (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	9	33	47	12	58	0	4	14	20	5	25	0
2009	11	34	45	11	55	0	5	16	21	5	26	0
2010	6	53	36	6	42	0	2	19	13	2	15	0
2011	4	35	54	7	61	0	2	19	29	4	33	0
2012	6	40	36	17	53	0	3	19	17	8	25	0
2013	8	42	44	6	50	0	4	20	21	3	24	0



Dolores Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 3rd Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	48	50	50	0
Female	21	71	29	0
Male	27	33	67	0
AmlndAK	2	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	4	X	X	X
Wht	41	51	49	0
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	3	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 4th Grade Writing (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Elem	8	38	52	2	54	0	4	20	27	1	28	0
Dolores Re-4a	8	38	52	2	54	0	4	20	27	1	28	0
Colorado	7	39	45	8	53	0	4429	25437	29114	5186	34300	321


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 4th Grade Writing



Dolores Elem Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 4th Grade Writing (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	2	38	48	10	58	2	1	23	29	6	35	1
2009	15	54	26	3	28	3	6	21	10	1	11	1
2010	6	36	47	11	58	0	3	19	25	6	31	0
2011	7	35	48	11	59	0	3	16	22	5	27	0
2012	9	42	42	8	49	0	5	22	22	4	26	0
2013	8	38	52	2	54	0	4	20	27	1	28	0


Dolores Elem Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 4th Grade Writing



Dolores Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 4th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	52	54	46	0
Female	24	67	33	0
Male	28	43	57	0
AmlndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	3	X	X	X
Wht	46	57	43	0
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	2	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X

Dolores Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 4th Grade Writing



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 5th Grade Writing (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Elem	7	33	50	10	60	0	4	19	29	6	35	0
Dolores Re-4a	7	33	50	10	60	0	4	19	29	6	35	0
Colorado	5	37	48	9	57	1	3144	23555	30583	5713	36296	473


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 5th Grade Writing



Dolores Elem Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 5th Grade Writing (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	4	40	54	2	56	0	2	20	27	1	28	0
2009	5	43	47	5	52	0	3	25	27	3	30	0
2010	8	35	51	3	54	3	3	13	19	1	20	1
2011	2	32	49	17	66	0	1	15	23	8	31	0
2012	5	33	58	5	63	0	2	14	25	2	27	0
2013	7	33	50	10	60	0	4	19	29	6	35	0


Dolores Elem Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 5th Grade Writing



Dolores Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 5th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	58	60	40	0
Female	27	74	26	0
Male	31	48	52	0
AmlndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	1	X	X	X
Hisp	5	X	X	X
Wht	49	63	37	0
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	8	X	X	X
ELL	3	X	X	X

Dolores Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 5th Grade Writing



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score


Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16



2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 3rd Grade Math (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Elem	6	29	42	23	65	0	3	14	20	11	31	0
Dolores Re-4a	6	29	42	23	65	0	3	14	20	11	31	0
Colorado	7	20	41	31	72	0	4614	13073	26342	20248	46590	167




Dolores Elem Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 3rd Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	19	19	44	19	63	0	8	8	19	8	27	0
2009	13	26	40	19	60	2	6	12	19	9	28	1
2010	3	14	42	42	83	0	1	5	15	15	30	0
2011	11	17	43	30	72	0	6	9	23	16	39	0
2012	6	23	38	32	70	0	3	11	18	15	33	0
2013	6	29	42	23	65	0	3	14	20	11	31	0



Dolores Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 3rd Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	48	65	35	0
Female	21	67	33	0
Male	27	63	37	0
AmlndAK	2	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	4	X	X	X
Wht	41	66	34	0
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	3	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score


Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16



2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 4th Grade Math (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Elem	4	35	35	27	62	0	2	18	18	14	32	0
Dolores Re-4a	4	35	35	27	62	0	2	18	18	14	32	0
Colorado	8	20	43	28	72	0	5354	12670	28032	18310	46342	224




Dolores Elem Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 4th Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	2	22	54	22	76	0	1	13	32	13	45	0
2009	23	31	41	3	44	3	9	12	16	1	17	1
2010	6	19	38	38	75	0	3	10	20	20	40	0
2011	7	20	41	33	74	0	3	9	19	15	34	0
2012	8	17	51	25	75	0	4	9	27	13	40	0
2013	4	35	35	27	62	0	2	18	18	14	32	0



Dolores Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 4th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	52	62	38	0
Female	24	71	29	0
Male	28	54	46	0
AmlndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	3	X	X	X
Wht	46	63	37	0
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	2	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score


Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16



2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 5th Grade Math (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Elem	17	14	45	24	69	0	10	8	26	14	40	0
Dolores Re-4a	17	14	45	24	69	0	10	8	26	14	40	0
Colorado	9	25	36	29	65	0	5816	16015	23147	18283	41430	202




Dolores Elem Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 5th Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	6	42	38	14	52	0	3	21	19	7	26	0
2009	7	34	38	21	59	0	4	20	22	12	34	0
2010	11	27	46	14	59	3	4	10	17	5	22	1
2011	6	21	40	32	72	0	3	10	19	15	34	0
2012	5	26	37	33	70	0	2	11	16	14	30	0
2013	17	14	45	24	69	0	10	8	26	14	40	0



Dolores Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 5th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	58	69	31	0
Female	27	70	30	0
Male	31	68	32	0
AmlndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	1	X	X	X
Hisp	5	X	X	X
Wht	49	73	27	0
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	8	X	X	X
ELL	3	X	X	X



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score


Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16



2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 5th Grade Science (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Elem	14	33	48	5	53	0	8	19	28	3	31	0
Dolores Re-4a	14	33	48	5	53	0	8	19	28	3	31	0
Colorado	14	37	35	13	48	0	8842	23664	22449	8285	30734	219




Dolores Elem Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 5th Grade Science (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	16	34	38	10	48	2	8	17	19	5	24	1
2009	7	50	33	10	43	0	4	29	19	6	25	0
2010	8	47	37	3	39	5	3	18	14	1	15	2
2011	6	45	40	9	49	0	3	21	19	4	23	0
2012	14	16	53	16	70	0	6	7	23	7	30	0
2013	14	33	48	5	53	0	8	19	28	3	31	0



Dolores Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 5th Grade Science

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	58	53	47	0
Female	27	52	48	0
Male	31	55	45	0
AmlndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	1	X	X	X
Hisp	5	X	X	X
Wht	49	55	45	0
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	8	X	X	X
ELL	3	X	X	X



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score


Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16



Dolores High


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 9th Grade Reading (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores High	4	25	68	2	70	2	2	14	39	1	40	1
Dolores Re-4a	4	25	68	2	70	2	2	14	39	1	40	1
Colorado	7	24	64	4	68	1	4258	14768	39370	2272	41642	879




Dolores High Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 9th Grade Reading (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	5	19	67	5	71	5	2	8	28	2	30	2
2009	4	26	66	4	70	0	2	13	33	2	35	0
2010	6	21	73	0	73	0	4	14	48	0	48	0
2011	4	23	68	6	74	0	2	12	36	3	39	0
2012	4	16	75	5	80	0	2	9	41	3	44	0
2013	4	25	68	2	70	2	2	14	39	1	40	1



Dolores High Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 9th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	57	70	28	2
Female	35	83	17	0
Male	22	50	45	5
AmlndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	4	X	X	X
Wht	53	70	28	2
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	5	X	X	X
ELL	0	X	X	X



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score


Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16



2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 10th Grade Reading (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores High	0	18	67	15	82	0	0	10	37	8	45	0
Dolores Re-4a	0	18	67	15	82	0	0	10	37	8	45	0
Colorado	7	21	59	10	70	2	4132	12301	34821	6048	40869	1384




Dolores High Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 10th Grade Reading (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	3	16	70	11	81	0	2	10	44	7	51	0
2009	7	15	68	5	73	5	3	6	28	2	30	2
2010	6	19	67	8	75	0	2	7	24	3	27	0
2011	2	14	77	6	83	2	1	9	51	4	55	1
2012	4	15	62	17	79	2	2	8	33	9	42	1
2013	0	18	67	15	82	0	0	10	37	8	45	0



Dolores High Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 10th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	55	82	18	0
Female	26	85	15	0
Male	29	79	21	0
AmlndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	2	X	X	X
Hisp	3	X	X	X
Wht	47	83	17	0
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	5	X	X	X
ELL	0	X	X	X



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 9th Grade Writing (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores High	5	44	46	4	49	2	3	25	26	2	28	1
Dolores Re-4a	5	44	46	4	49	2	3	25	26	2	28	1
Colorado	3	40	47	8	55	1	1969	24862	28738	5144	33882	840


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 9th Grade Writing



Dolores High Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 9th Grade Writing (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	0	48	43	5	48	5	0	20	18	2	20	2
2009	2	48	42	8	50	0	1	24	21	4	25	0
2010	5	44	42	9	52	0	3	29	28	6	34	0
2011	2	38	49	11	60	0	1	20	26	6	32	0
2012	0	38	49	7	56	5	0	21	27	4	31	3
2013	5	44	46	4	49	2	3	25	26	2	28	1


Dolores High Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 9th Grade Writing



Dolores High Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 9th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	57	49	49	2
Female	35	57	43	0
Male	22	36	59	5
AmlndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	4	X	X	X
Wht	53	49	49	2
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	5	X	X	X
ELL	0	X	X	X

Dolores High Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 9th Grade Writing



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score


Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16



2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 10th Grade Writing (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores High	2	47	44	7	51	0	1	26	24	4	28	0
Dolores Re-4a	2	47	44	7	51	0	1	26	24	4	28	0
Colorado	6	43	41	7	49	2	3790	24972	24307	4316	28623	1311




Dolores High Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 10th Grade Writing (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	5	41	51	3	54	0	3	26	32	2	34	0
2009	10	39	44	2	46	5	4	16	18	1	19	2
2010	3	53	42	3	44	0	1	19	15	1	16	0
2011	0	45	52	2	53	2	0	30	34	1	35	1
2012	4	40	51	6	57	0	2	21	27	3	30	0
2013	2	47	44	7	51	0	1	26	24	4	28	0



Dolores High Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 10th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	55	51	49	0
Female	26	65	35	0
Male	29	38	62	0
AmlndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	2	X	X	X
Hisp	3	X	X	X
Wht	47	55	45	0
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	5	X	X	X
ELL	0	X	X	X



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score


Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16



2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 9th Grade Math (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores High	35	33	18	12	30	2	20	19	10	7	17	1
Dolores Re-4a	35	33	18	12	30	2	20	19	10	7	17	1
Colorado	30	30	24	15	39	1	18263	18670	14741	9176	23917	692




Dolores High Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 9th Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	17	45	14	19	33	5	7	19	6	8	14	2
2009	30	30	22	16	38	2	15	15	11	8	19	1
2010	20	38	29	14	42	0	13	25	19	9	28	0
2011	23	34	28	15	43	0	12	18	15	8	23	0
2012	25	35	25	15	40	0	14	19	14	8	22	0
2013	35	33	18	12	30	2	20	19	10	7	17	1



Dolores High Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 9th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	57	30	68	2
Female	35	29	71	0
Male	22	32	64	5
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	4	X	X	X
Wht	53	30	68	2
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	5	X	X	X
ELL	0	X	X	X



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score


Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16



2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 10th Grade Math (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores High	22	36	38	4	42	0	12	20	21	2	23	0
Dolores Re-4a	22	36	38	4	42	0	12	20	21	2	23	0
Colorado	29	35	28	6	34	2	17148	20459	16191	3725	19916	1162




Dolores High Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 10th Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	35	24	33	8	41	0	22	15	21	5	26	0
2009	29	34	27	5	32	5	12	14	11	2	13	2
2010	17	39	39	6	44	0	6	14	14	2	16	0
2011	14	43	35	6	42	2	9	28	23	4	27	1
2012	17	45	32	6	38	0	9	24	17	3	20	0
2013	22	36	38	4	42	0	12	20	21	2	23	0



Dolores High Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 10th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	55	42	58	0
Female	26	46	54	0
Male	29	38	62	0
AmlndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	2	X	X	X
Hisp	3	X	X	X
Wht	47	47	53	0
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	5	X	X	X
ELL	0	X	X	X



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score


Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16



2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 10th Grade Science (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores High	5	22	67	5	73	0	3	12	37	3	40	0
Dolores Re-4a	5	22	67	5	73	0	3	12	37	3	40	0
Colorado	25	21	43	8	51	2	14953	12366	25278	4824	30102	1239




Dolores High Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 10th Grade Science (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	22	16	54	8	62	0	14	10	34	5	39	0
2009	17	15	61	2	63	5	7	6	25	1	26	2
2010	17	19	50	14	64	0	6	7	18	5	23	0
2011	12	23	53	11	64	2	8	15	35	7	42	1
2012	9	26	51	13	64	0	5	14	27	7	34	0
2013	5	22	67	5	73	0	3	12	37	3	40	0



Dolores High Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 10th Grade Science

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	55	73	27	0
Female	26	73	27	0
Male	29	72	28	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	2	X	X	X
Hisp	3	X	X	X
Wht	47	77	23	0
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	5	X	X	X
ELL	0	X	X	X



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




Dolores Middle

School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 6th Grade Reading (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Middle	12	6	77	6	83	0	6	3	40	3	43	0
Dolores Re-4a	11	6	77	6	83	0	6	3	41	3	44	0
Colorado	8	18	62	11	73	1	4938	11309	38862	7097	45959	845


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 6th Grade Reading



Dolores Middle Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 6th Grade Reading (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	5	23	62	11	73	0	3	15	41	7	48	0
2009	6	16	65	11	76	2	4	10	40	7	47	1
2010	5	10	70	15	85	0	3	6	42	9	51	0
2011	11	27	56	7	62	0	5	12	25	3	28	0
2012	9	6	69	15	83	2	5	3	37	8	45	1
2013	12	6	77	6	83	0	6	3	40	3	43	0


Dolores Middle Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 6th Grade Reading



Dolores Middle Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 6th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	52	83	17	0
Female	25	84	16	0
Male	27	81	19	0
AmlndAK	2	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	7	X	X	X
Wht	43	84	16	0
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	6	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X

Dolores Middle Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 6th Grade Reading



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score


Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16



2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 7th Grade Reading (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Middle	7	25	59	9	68	0	4	14	33	5	38	0
Dolores Re-4a	7	25	59	9	68	0	4	14	33	5	38	0
Colorado	11	21	59	9	68	1	6815	13070	36650	5501	42151	359




Dolores Middle Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 7th Grade Reading (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	8	14	69	7	76	1	6	10	49	5	54	1
2009	6	15	69	9	78	0	4	10	45	6	51	0
2010	7	23	60	11	70	0	4	13	34	6	40	0
2011	10	13	68	10	77	0	6	8	42	6	48	0
2012	16	13	62	9	71	0	7	6	28	4	32	0
2013	7	25	59	9	68	0	4	14	33	5	38	0



Dolores Middle Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 7th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	56	68	32	0
Female	25	84	16	0
Male	31	55	45	0
AmIndAK	3	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	4	X	X	X
Wht	48	69	31	0
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	4	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score


Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16



2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Reading (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Middle	12	14	69	2	71	4	6	7	35	1	36	2
Dolores Re-4a	12	14	69	2	71	4	6	7	35	1	36	2
Colorado	10	23	59	8	67	1	5882	13891	35960	4891	40851	450




Dolores Middle Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 8th Grade Reading (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	8	15	62	15	77	0	4	8	32	8	40	0
2009	3	19	70	8	78	0	2	14	51	6	57	0
2010	6	19	68	8	75	0	3	10	36	4	40	0
2011	5	25	62	7	69	0	3	14	34	4	38	0
2012	9	22	65	3	68	1	6	15	44	2	46	1
2013	12	14	69	2	71	4	6	7	35	1	36	2



Dolores Middle Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	51	71	25	4
Female	28	82	14	4
Male	23	57	39	4
AmIndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	8	X	X	X
Wht	42	71	24	5
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	4	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 6th Grade Writing (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Middle	2	40	54	4	58	0	1	21	28	2	30	0
Dolores Re-4a	2	42	53	4	57	0	1	22	28	2	30	0
Colorado	4	38	49	9	58	1	2629	23740	30751	5578	36329	355


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 6th Grade Writing



Dolores Middle Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 6th Grade Writing (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	2	41	52	6	58	0	1	27	34	4	38	0
2009	2	52	42	5	47	0	1	32	26	3	29	0
2010	2	28	62	8	70	0	1	17	37	5	42	0
2011	9	42	44	4	49	0	4	19	20	2	22	0
2012	9	31	44	15	59	0	5	17	24	8	32	0
2013	2	40	54	4	58	0	1	21	28	2	30	0


Dolores Middle Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 6th Grade Writing



Dolores Middle Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 6th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	52	58	42	0
Female	25	60	40	0
Male	27	56	44	0
AmIndAK	2	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	7	X	X	X
Wht	43	58	42	0
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	6	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X

Dolores Middle Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 6th Grade Writing



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 7th Grade Writing (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Middle	4	38	45	14	59	0	2	21	25	8	33	0
Dolores Re-4a	4	38	45	14	59	0	2	21	25	8	33	0
Colorado	3	35	47	15	61	1	1739	21930	29033	9265	38298	431


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 7th Grade Writing



Dolores Middle Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 7th Grade Writing (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	1	38	49	10	59	1	1	27	35	7	42	1
2009	3	35	54	8	62	0	2	23	35	5	40	0
2010	0	37	53	11	63	0	0	21	30	6	36	0
2011	2	34	56	8	65	0	1	21	35	5	40	0
2012	4	36	49	9	58	2	2	16	22	4	26	1
2013	4	38	45	14	59	0	2	21	25	8	33	0


Dolores Middle Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 7th Grade Writing



Dolores Middle Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 7th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	56	59	41	0
Female	25	80	20	0
Male	31	42	58	0
AmlndAK	3	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	4	X	X	X
Wht	48	58	42	0
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	4	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X

Dolores Middle Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 7th Grade Writing



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Writing (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Middle	4	33	61	2	63	0	2	17	31	1	32	0
Dolores Re-4a	4	33	61	2	63	0	2	17	31	1	32	0
Colorado	3	40	47	9	56	1	1836	24683	28434	5641	34075	485


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Writing



Dolores Middle Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 8th Grade Writing (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	10	37	48	6	54	0	5	19	25	3	28	0
2009	1	45	48	5	53	0	1	33	35	4	39	0
2010	2	36	49	13	62	0	1	19	26	7	33	0
2011	0	40	47	13	60	0	0	22	26	7	33	0
2012	4	40	50	3	53	3	3	27	34	2	36	2
2013	4	33	61	2	63	0	2	17	31	1	32	0


Dolores Middle Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 8th Grade Writing



Dolores Middle Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	51	63	37	0
Female	28	82	18	0
Male	23	39	61	0
AmlndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	8	X	X	X
Wht	42	69	31	0
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	4	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X

Dolores Middle Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Writing



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score


Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16



2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 6th Grade Math (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Middle	13	23	45	19	64	0	7	12	24	10	34	0
Dolores Re-4a	13	23	45	19	64	0	7	12	24	10	34	0
Colorado	12	26	35	27	62	0	7457	16200	22056	17049	39105	288




Dolores Middle Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 6th Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	17	50	27	6	33	0	11	33	18	4	22	0
2009	19	29	42	10	52	0	12	18	26	6	32	0
2010	7	37	40	17	57	0	4	22	24	10	34	0
2011	13	24	44	18	62	0	6	11	20	8	28	0
2012	9	22	41	28	69	0	5	12	22	15	37	0
2013	13	23	45	19	64	0	7	12	24	10	34	0



Dolores Middle Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 6th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	53	64	36	0
Female	25	64	36	0
Male	28	64	36	0
AmlndAK	2	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	7	X	X	X
Wht	44	68	32	0
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	6	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score


Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16



2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 7th Grade Math (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Middle	13	34	30	23	54	0	7	19	17	13	30	0
Dolores Re-4a	13	34	30	23	54	0	7	19	17	13	30	0
Colorado	14	30	28	27	55	1	8842	18935	17406	16893	34299	327




Dolores Middle Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 7th Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	14	41	28	15	44	1	10	29	20	11	31	1
2009	15	43	31	11	42	0	10	28	20	7	27	0
2010	16	38	28	19	47	0	9	22	16	11	27	0
2011	13	35	29	23	52	0	8	22	18	14	32	0
2012	18	38	29	16	44	0	8	17	13	7	20	0
2013	13	34	30	23	54	0	7	19	17	13	30	0



Dolores Middle Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 7th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	56	54	46	0
Female	25	64	36	0
Male	31	45	55	0
AmIndAK	3	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	4	X	X	X
Wht	48	56	44	0
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	4	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score


Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16



2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Math (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Middle	10	39	37	10	47	4	5	20	19	5	24	2
Dolores Re-4a	10	39	37	10	47	4	5	20	19	5	24	2
Colorado	19	29	29	23	51	1	11577	17668	17463	13977	31440	385




Dolores Middle Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 8th Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	21	21	29	29	58	0	11	11	15	15	30	0
2009	15	37	27	21	48	0	11	27	20	15	35	0
2010	13	28	33	26	59	0	7	15	18	14	32	0
2011	13	33	36	18	55	0	7	18	20	10	30	0
2012	22	32	31	15	46	0	15	22	21	10	31	0
2013	10	39	37	10	47	4	5	20	19	5	24	2



Dolores Middle Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	51	47	49	4
Female	28	61	36	4
Male	23	30	65	4
AmlndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	8	X	X	X
Wht	42	48	48	5
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	4	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16




2013 TCAP Executive Summary Report Dolores Re-4a School District

School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Science (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
Dolores Middle	14	29	49	4	53	4	7	15	25	2	27	2
Dolores Re-4a	14	29	49	4	53	4	7	15	25	2	27	2
Colorado	21	27	43	9	52	1	12703	16350	26331	5232	31563	458


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Science



Dolores Middle Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 8th Grade Science (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS	U	PP	P	A	PA	NS
2008	15	29	38	17	56	0	8	15	20	9	29	0
2009	11	36	45	8	53	0	8	26	33	6	39	0
2010	19	28	47	6	53	0	10	15	25	3	28	0
2011	13	27	53	7	60	0	7	15	29	4	33	0
2012	22	41	29	6	35	1	15	28	20	4	24	1
2013	14	29	49	4	53	4	7	15	25	2	27	2


Dolores Middle Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 8th Grade Science



Dolores Middle Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Science

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	51	53	43	4
Female	28	64	32	4
Male	23	39	57	4
AmlndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	8	X	X	X
Wht	42	57	38	5
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	4	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X

Dolores Middle Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2013 8th Grade Science



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score

Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16