TOTAL

Level: EMH

District: DOLORES RE-4A - 2055 (All - 3 Year¹)

Accredited

This is the district's official accreditation rating, which is based on the 3 Year District Performance Framework. Districts are designated an accreditation category based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the accreditation category. Additionally, failing to meet finance, safety, test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower accreditation category.

Accreditation Category	Framework Points Earned
Accred. w/Distinction	at or above 80%
Accredited	at or above 64% - below 80%
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	at or above 52% - below 64%
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	at or above 42% - below 52%
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	below 42%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For districts with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points I	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	77.1%	(11.6 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	72.2%	(25.3 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	57.6%	(8.6 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	83.9%	(29.4 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

²Districts may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Districts do not receive points for test participation. However, districts are assigned one accreditation category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for districts serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

74.9%

(74.9 out of 100 points)

Finance⁴ Meets Requirements

Safety⁴ Meets Requirements

⁴Districts do not receive points for finance and safety assurances. However, districts that do not meet requirements in at least one area default to Accredited with Priority Improvement (or remain Accredited with Turnaround Plan) until they meet requirements.

Test Participation Rates																
	% of Students Tested				Participat	tion Rating			Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.3%	99.2%	99.1%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	448	488	338	1274	451	492	341	1284
Mathematics	100.0%	99.6%	99.4%	99.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	451	490	338	1279	451	492	340	1283
Writing	99.8%	99.4%	98.5%	99.3%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	450	489	336	1275	451	492	341	1284
Science	100.0%	98.3%	99.4%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	149	172	174	495	149	175	175	499
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.3%	99.3%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	140	140	-	-	141	141



Performance Indicators - PRE	LIMINARY DRA	FI FOR DISTRIC	I REVIEW				Le	evel: Elementar
District: DOLORES RE-4A - 2055								(3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	412	76.21	67	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	417	71.46	54	
Writing	3	4		Meets	417	58.99	64	
Science	3	4		Meets	135	56.3	70	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	257	48	30	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	258	50	45	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	258	49	40	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	117	48	37	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	39	46	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	25	42	84	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	- -	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	63	51	65	No
Mathematics	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	118	48	53	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	39	57	59	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	38	86	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	64	40	80	No
Writing	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	118	46	48	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	39	46	52	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	38	81	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	122	50	60	No

Performance Indicators - PRE	LIMINARY DRA	FT FOR DISTRIC	T REVIEW					Level: Middle
District: DOLORES RE-4A - 2055								(3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	475	73.26	62	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	478	55.02	66	
Writing	3	4		Meets	476	59.24	58	
Science	3	4		Meets	168	49.4	57	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	449	47	27	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	452	54	70	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	448	49	46	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	205	47	37	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	57	47	29	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	37	37	89	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	98	47	75	No
Mathematics	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	204	54	77	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	57	51	75	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	37	49	99	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	187	59	91	No
	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	204	42	58	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	56	46	50	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	37	48	94	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	168	48	76	No

Performance Indicators - PRELIMI	NARY DRAFT FO	OR DISTRICT REV	/IEW					Level: High
District: DOLORES RE-4A - 2055								(3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	District's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	329	78.72	76	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	329	39.51	74	
Writing	3	4		Meets	328	54.88	64	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	170	67.65	90	
Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4	70 FUIILS	Meets	303	57	16	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	305	64	88	No
	<u>3</u>	4			298	56	50	Yes
Writing	0	0		Meets	N<20	-	-	
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS) Total	<u>0</u>	0	75%	Meets	IN<20	-	-	-
IOtal	3	12	75%	ivieets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	108	48	31	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	35	57	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	21	61	97	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	76	54	73	No
Mathematics	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	109	60	97	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	36	53	99	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	21	58	99	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	157	63	99	No
Writing	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	107	52	68	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	33	51	82	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	21	57	99	No
English Learners	0	0	-	-	N<20	- -	- -	<u>-</u>
Students needing to catch up	3	4	-	Meets	127	62	87	No
Total	32	48	66.7%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eliaible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4	70 T OHIG	Exceeds		181/135/98/ 40	89/91.1/91.8/ 92.5 %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.75	2	87.5%	Exceeds		,,	,- ,,-	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1		Exceeds		64/42/ 34 /16	89.1/92.9/ 94.1 /87.5%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1		Meets		27/21/ 19 /N<16	77.8/81/ 84.2 /-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.73	0		-	N<	16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0				16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	<u>_</u>	4		Meets		1134	1.2%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	3	4		Meets		140	20.2	20.1
	,	-		IVICELS		140	20.2	20.1

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The District Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the district and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This District's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	92.7	92.5	92.5	92.5
Anticipated Year	2010	89.8	91.4	91.4	
of Graduation	2011	89.5	89.2		
	2012	83.7			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	93.8	93.8	93.8	87.5
Anticipated Year	2010	93.8	93.8	94.4	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	81			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	92.7	92.5	92.5	92.5
Anticipated Year	2010	89.8	91.4	91.4	
of Graduation	2011	89.5	89.2		
	2012	83.7			
	Aggregated	89	91.1	91.8	92.5

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	93.8	93.8	93.8	87.5
Anticipated Year	2010	93.8	93.8	94.4	
of Graduation 2011		N<16	N<16		
	2012	81			
	Aggregated	89.1	92.9	94.1	87.5

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	77.8	81	84.2	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year DPF, districts earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year DPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

DPF 2013 - 2055 - 3-Year

rmance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framewor Points	
	The district's percentage of student	The district's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:							
	at or above the 90th percentile of the second	of all districts (using 2009-10 baseline)	•	Exceeds		4	16		
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at	or above the 50th percentile of all dis	tricts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15	
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at	or above the 15th percentile of all dis	tricts (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all	districts (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each subject		
Growth	below 60 but at or above 45.	below 70 but at or above 55.	below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	area and 2 for	35	
	below 45 but at or above 30.	below 55 but at or above 40.	below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	English language		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	proficiency)		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			TO	CAP			
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60		
Growth Gaps	below 60 but at or above 45.	below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15	
	below 45 but at or above 30.					2	subgroups in 3		
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated rate was:	d Graduation Rate: The district's gradu	ation rate/disaggregated graduation		Overall	Disaggr.			
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1			
	at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75			
	at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5			
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25			
	Dropout Rate: The district's dropou	t rate was:					16		
ostsecondary and	at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35	
orkforce Readiness	at or below the state average but	it above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3	indicator)		
	at or below 10% but above the s	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).				2			
	• above 10%.	• above 10%.				1			
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The	district's average Colorado ACT comp	osite score was:						
	at or above 22.			Exceeds		4			
	at or above the state average but	t below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3			
		te average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2			
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1			

Cut-Points for Each Perfor	rmance Indicator	Cut-Points for Accreditation Category Assignment				
	Cut Point: The district earned of the points eligible on this Indica		Cut Point: The district earned of the total Framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 80%	Distinction	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	• at or above 64% - below 80%	Accredited	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Framework	• at or above 52% - below 64%	Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	Points	• at or above 42% - below 52%	Priority Improvement	
				• below 42%	Turnaround	

District Plan Type Assignments									
	Plan description								
Accred. w/Distinction	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A district may not accredited with a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total							
Accredited	The district is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education is required to restructure or close the district. The five							
Accred. w/Improvement Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the							
Accred. w/Priority Impr. Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	district is notified that it is Accredited with a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.							
Accred. w/Turnaround Plan	The district is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.								

6

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Districts receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated District Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more districts to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small districts may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official accreditation category for the district: the one under which the district has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a district's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
_		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Districts	175	165	167	176	165	167	175	165	167	133	135	138
	15th percentile	59.26	58.87	57.14	57.99	34.46	18.30	38.48	42.37	32.85	29.46	28.57	30.27
	50th percentile	71.51	70.50	71.53	70.51	50.00	32.16	54.72	56.36	48.61	48.00	45.60	48.93
	90th percentile	84.37	83.57	84.78	84.60	68.84	52.06	69.66	72.27	67.56	69.72	69.09	70.39

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

			Reading			Math		Writing			Science		
_		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Districts	181	182	183	181	182	182	181	182	183	172	175	179
	15th percentile	60.45	56.61	57.63	56.84	36.37	17.78	41.44	41.85	33.82	32.93	30.02	31.43
	50th percentile	72.19	69.22	71.31	70.37	49.11	30.51	55.78	56.80	49.70	47.50	46.81	49.18
	90th percentile	85.16	81.53	83.80	83.42	65.33	48.02	71.02	70.87	67.71	66.52	65.86	67.31

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this district compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the district to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the district met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds 60-99		70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching 30-44		40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

7

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

DPF 2013 - 2055 - 3-Year